**South African National Essential Medicines List**

**Technology Assessment Scope**

**Component: STG chapter and section**

**Generic name of health technology and relevant indication (e.g. x for treating x)**

**Date**

*Scope for health technology assessment by Essential Drugs Programme*

# Summary of the scope

|  |
| --- |
| **Medicine:** **Indication under review:** **Review question:** **Patient population:** **Level/s of Care:** **Current standard of care/ comparators:****Outcomes to be assessed:****Assessment output required:**  |

## Scope developed by: Name

**Evidence Review Committee appraising the topic:**

* PHC/Adult Hospital, Paediatric Hospital, Tertiary & Quaternary

# Introduction/ Background

* Information about the condition (prevalence/ incidence/ burden of the disease)
* Context on how the health technology can be used in South Africa and why it might be beneficial (e.g. current gap in care in treatment pathway)
* Any relevant policy considerations or related clinical guidelines that should be considered
* Clear statement about why the analysis is required

# Purpose/Objective

Adapt the following statement:

To assess the [effectiveness/ safety/ cost/ other] of the use of [technology x] compared to [technology b] for [patient population and disease/condition] in [health care setting]

# Description of the technology

* Anatomical Therapeutic Classification
* Formulation/s available in South Africa (if more than one, indicate which formulation under review)
* Route of administration (if more than one, indicate which route of administration is under review)

# Indication to be assessed

State indication relevant to this review (use SAHPRA approved indication if available: <https://www.sahpra.org.za/pi-pil-repository/>)

Complete PICOS table:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Criteria  | Details  |
| Population  | * Patient population who will be eligible to receive the health technology being assessed. Include specifics on condition/disease, age, sex, comorbidities, and subgroups.
 |
| Intervention  | * Technology being assessed and its place in the current care pathway
* Will it replace current treatment or be an add-on therapy?
* Include specifics of dose, duration, delivery mode, co-intervention/s, setting (e.g. inpatient/ outpatient)
 |
| Comparison | * Current standard of care and currently available for use in South African public health sector
* Should be the treatment most clinicians will replace with the technology being assessed, or the treatment most prescribed currently for the management of the disease/condition.
* Can be active treatment or placebo
* Include specifics of dose, duration, mode of delivery
 |
| Outcomes   | * Identify principal measures for clinical effectiveness for population of interest and with consideration of place in care pathway/stage of disease.
* Include both clinical and safety outcomes
* Specify primary and secondary outcomes (including survival, disease progression and health-related quality of life)
* Define time horizon - time it takes to demonstrate the identified outcomes (may vary for clinical and economic outcomes).
* If applicable, identify feasibility, acceptability, and cost-effectiveness outcome data
 |
| Likely study designs or data sources to be included  | * Systematic reviews
* Primary studies (order of preference: RCTs, observational studies, case series)
* Clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) and health technology assessments (HTAs)
 |

# Other considerations

* Equity in health for marginalized groups
* Social value (includes relative importance and acceptability of the intervention to stakeholders)
* Feasibility / ease of implementation

# List of stakeholders to be consulted

# REferences

Vancouver style format

# Assessment output required

Select all relevant analysis required to address the decision problem.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Type | Notes to the reviewer | Indicate when appropriate |
| STAGE 1: Technical Report |
| Brief Technical Review Report |  |  |
| Standard Technical Review Report |  |  |
| STAGE 2: Additional Analysis |
| Rapid Systematic Review |  |  |
| Systematic Review  |  |  |
| Cost-Comparison Analysis |  |  |
| Budget Impact Analysis |  |  |
| Rapid Review of Economic Evaluations |  |  |
| Basic Cost-Effectiveness Analysis |  |  |
| Comprehensive Cost-Effectiveness Analysis |  |  |
| Pricing Analysis  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |